

## Studies Towards the Homogeneously Catalysed Hydration of Ethylene to Et hanol

By JOHN COOK and PETER M. MAITLIS\*

(Department of Chemistry, The University, Sheffield S3 7HF)

**Summary** HOCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>HgX (**1**; X = Cl) in aqueous base is catalytically decomposed to ethanol and acetate by [Rh<sub>2</sub>(C<sub>5</sub>Me<sub>5</sub>)<sub>2</sub>(OH)<sub>3</sub>]Cl·4H<sub>2</sub>O (**2**); direct reaction of ethylene with Hg(OAc)<sub>2</sub>-complex (**2**) in the presence of base also yields comparable amounts of ethanol, some acetaldehyde also being formed.



reduction of (**1**) to ethanol (using, for example, sodium amalgam, borohydride, *etc.*) has long been known<sup>3,5</sup> but no catalytic decomposition of (**1**) to ethanol has so far been reported.

THE hydration of ethylene to ethanol is a commercially important reaction which is usually carried out heterogeneously (at 200–300 °C and 50–70 atm) over an acidic catalyst, typically a phosphoric acid supported on silica.<sup>1</sup> We have been interested in finding a homogeneously catalysed process for this reaction and now report our first results.

We find that a solution of (**1**, X = Cl) in 2 M NaOH reacts with catalytic amounts of, for example, [Rh<sub>2</sub>(C<sub>5</sub>Me<sub>5</sub>)<sub>2</sub>(OH)<sub>3</sub>]Cl·4H<sub>2</sub>O<sup>6</sup> (**2**), to give 0.12–0.15 equiv. of ethanol per equiv. of (**1**, X = Cl) (Table); the remaining organic material was largely acetate together with some acetaldehyde.† Metallic mercury was precipitated.

In the hydroxymetallation reaction, ethylene (and other olefins) are converted into β-hydroxyethylmetal complexes<sup>2</sup> and mercury(II) salts, for example, readily form stable complexes such as (**1**) [equation (1)].<sup>3,4</sup> The stoichiometric

Exactly analogous results were obtained when ethylene was passed into aqueous solutions of mercury(II) acetate and catalytic amounts of complex (**2**) after addition of base; presumably (**1**, X = OAc) was formed *in situ*. Analysis again showed the production of *ca.* 0.12 equiv. of ethanol per equiv. of mercury salt. Both sets of reactions were catalytic in complex (**2**) (Table).

TABLE. Yields of Ethanol.

[HgXY]/mol (× 10 <sup>5</sup> )	[complex ( <b>2</b> )] mol (× 10 <sup>5</sup> )	[EtOH]/mol (× 10 <sup>5</sup> )	$\frac{[\text{EtOH}]}{[\text{HgXY}]}$	$\frac{[\text{EtOH}]}{[\text{complex } (2)]}$
5 <sup>a</sup>	5	0.7	0.14	0.1
10 <sup>a</sup>	5	1.9	0.19	0.4
50 <sup>a</sup>	5	8	0.16	1.6
180 <sup>a</sup>	5	27	0.15	5.4
4 <sup>b</sup>	4	0.4	0.10	0.1
50 <sup>b</sup>	4	8	0.16	2.0
100 <sup>b</sup>	4	13	0.13	2.8
203 <sup>b</sup>	4	28	0.14	7.0

<sup>a</sup> Using HOCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>HgCl in 4 ml 2 M NaOH solution at 3–5 °C. <sup>b</sup> Using Hg(OAc)<sub>2</sub> in 2 ml water. Ethylene was bubbled through the solution for 1.5 h at 20 °C and 1 atm at a rate of *ca.* 1 l h<sup>-1</sup>, and then 2 ml of 2 M NaOH solution added.

† Ethanol and acetaldehyde were identified by g.l.c. retention times and peak enhancement, g.l.c.-mass spectrometry, and n.m.r. spectroscopy; acetate was shown to be present by n.m.r. spectroscopy.

Studies to determine the mechanism and the scope of the reaction are in hand but for the moment we note that it is not limited to the formation of ethanol from ethylene. Thus we have found that propylene reacts with  $\text{Hg}(\text{OAc})_2$ — $[\text{Rh}_2(\text{C}_5\text{Me}_5)_2(\text{OH})_3]\text{Cl}\cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$  in water to give some propan-2-ol as well as acetone and that the same catalyst system in

ethanol gives diethyl ether when treated with ethylene.

We thank the S.R.C. and B.P. Chemicals for the award of a CASE studentship (to J. C.) and Mr. G. Gough of B.P. for g.l.c.—mass spectrometric analyses.

(Received, 11th June 1979; Com. 609.)

<sup>1</sup> Scholven-Chemie A. G., Fr. P. 1,531,086 (1968); *Chem. Abs.*, 1969, **71**, 60684b; Hibernia-Chemie G.m.b.H., Fr. P. 2,006,194 (1969); *Chem. Abs.*, 1970, **73**, 44880y; R. A. Britton (Esso Research and Engineering Co.), U.S. P. 3,686,334 (1972); *Chem. Abs.*, 1972, **77**, 151459x.

<sup>2</sup> W. Kitching, *Organometallic Reactions*, 1972, **3**, 319.

<sup>3</sup> J. Chatt, *Chem. Rev.*, 1951, **48**, 7.

<sup>4</sup> F. A. Cotton and J. R. Leto, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.*, 1958, **80**, 4824.

<sup>5</sup> H. C. Brown and P. Geoghegan, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.*, 1967, **89**, 1522.

<sup>6</sup> J. W. Kang and P. M. Maitlis, *J. Organometallic Chem.*, 1971, **30**, 127.